1	1 Frank Frisenda, Jr. (State Bar No. 85580)			
2	Mark Estes (State Bar No. 110518) FRISENDA, QUINTON & NICHOLSON			
3	11755 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor			
4	Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: (310) 628-8801			
5	F : 7 (950) 501 0151			
6				
7	7 AMI SHAFRIR			
8				
9	9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	AMI SHAFRIR, an individual,) Case No. CV-01-01.	507 CAS (JWJx)		
12) [assigned to Hon. Cl Plaintiff,	nristina A. Snyder]		
13	13			
14	vs.) <u>Notice of Penden</u>) of Other Action			
15	DANIEL NISHRIE, aka DANIEL NICHERIE, OR PROCEEDINGS			
16	an individual; ABNER NISHRIE aka ABNER) [Local Rule 83-1.4] NICHERIE, an individual; and DOES 1-10,			
17	17 inclusive. NOTICE OF RELAT	ED CASES		
18) [Local Rule 83-1.3] Defendants.			
19) 116 Actions Relatin	ng to Ami Shafrir		
20	20			
21	Plaintiff Ami Shafrir submits the following Notice of Pend	dency of Other Actions		
22	and Notice of Related Cases. [L.R. 83-1.4 and 83-1.3]			
23	The following is a description of other actions, title of the	court, names of the		
24	parties and their attorneys, together with a brief factual statement	t setting forth the basis		
25	that the actions are related. [L.R. 83-1.4.2]	that the actions are related. [L.R. 83-1.4.2]		
26	This Notice of Pendency of actions is being combined with a	a Notice of Related Cases in		
27	the interests of judicial economy.			
28	28			
	1			

Notice of Pendency of Other Actions and of Related Cases

Listing of Cases

Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a listing of 116 actions in chronological order that are related and/or pending as defined in local rules and FRCP.

These actions are related in that they appear to (a) arise from the same or substantially identical transactions, happenings or events; ... or (c) call for determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law and fact; or (d) be likely ... to entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges. [*L.R. 83-1.3.1*]

The common issue to these 116 related actions is that they have all been caused by Daniel Nicherie and Abner Nicherie (the Nicheries) and their associates since January 2000 in their \$40,000,000 theft and destruction of the Shafrir's assets. The divorce action was not caused by the Nicheries, however they materially and adversely affected it.

The following summary of 116 actions reflects a massive fraud that involves the misuse of the judicial process as a vehicle for two conmen, and their associates, to escape accountability.

There is a complex interplay between (1) the LASC Family Court that obtained jurisdiction over the community assets in August 1998; (2) the LASC Civil Court, where issues of setting aside fraudulent transfers are being addressed; (3) the US Bankruptcy Court in California, Nevada, Alabama and Georgia that were used by the Nicheries as a vehicle to cause confusion and delay; (4) and the US District Court where Ami Shafrir has brought the issue of the racketeering activities of the Nicheries.

Within this interplay are issues relating to Rooker-Feldman Doctrine, Bankruptcy Stays, Injunctions and Anti-Injunction statutes, Divorce matters, jurisdiction, corporate representation, authority for attorney representation, pension plan and ERISA, interpleader, removal and remand, two-dismissal rule, Rule 11, conflicts of interest, receivers and trustees, discovery and adverse inferences relating to invoking the Fifth Amendment, litigation privilege, extrinsic fraud, crime/fraud exceptions, restraining orders, perjury, prior criminal judgments, defaults, fraudulent transfers, lis pendens, attorney malpractice and RICO.

COMMON ISSUE RELEVANT TO ALL 116 ACTIONS

The common issue throughout these actions, and the issue that the Nicheries have spent in excess of \$3,500,000 in attorney fees to prevent from being resolved, on the merits, is the simple matter of

Ownership of the Shafrir Entities

The substance of the dispute, and the cause of all of the related cases is directly attributed to the conduct of **Daniel Nicherie** and his brother **Abner Nicherie** (the "Nicheries"), two professional conmen who, in early 2000, took control of the community property of Ami Shafrir and his ex-wife, Sarit Shafrir including valuable real estate and three operating corporations that produced significant cash-flow.

Once possession and control of the Shafrir Entities was obtained, the Nicheries maintained exclusive control of the various Shafrir assets during which time they diverted the revenue streams and transferred liquid assets to Nevada shell corporations and other property to unknown locations and entities. The Nicheries have destroyed what was left as evident by the 10 bankruptcies listed at #107-#116 of Exhibit 1.

From early 2000 and continuing today, the Nicheries were the cause of all of the actions to be filed, or have materially and adversely affected actions such as the divorce proceeding between Sarit Shafrir and her husband, Ami Shafrir. The actions caused by the Nicheries were targeted against the Shafrirs generally through Nicherie controlled Nevada shell corporations and through the Shafrirs' own companies they took over, without authority.

The Nicheries Adverse Influence over Sarit Shafrir

From January 2000 through August 2001, the Nicheries adversely influenced Sarit Shafrir² during her divorce proceeding involving community property worth approximately \$40,000,000 as of December 31, 1999.

Daniel Nicherie intimidated and adversely influenced others, including Richard Albertini, Samuel Rozanis and Randy Miller to make false claims to Sarit Shafrir that Ami Shafrir had transferred millions from the Shafrir companies. The Nicheries convinced Sarit that unless she transferred the community property to Nevada shell corporations, that Ami Shafrir was going to take it all, and she would be left with nothing.

Daniel Nicherie informed Sarit Shafrir that he was an attorney and that it was in her best interests to follow his "advice" to "protect the Shafrir community assets."

The Nicheries revealed a plan that would put Sarit Shafrir in control and oust her husband from all aspects of the community businesses. This plan was initiated by having Sarit Shafrir make false allegations of violence against Ami Shafrir as a basis to obtain a restraining order preventing Ami Shafrir's access to the community real properties, and also from the community businesses, Amtec Audiotext and Worldsite operating therein. Sarit Shafrir believed the Nicheries were acting in her best interests and followed their instructions. She continued to be manipulated by the Nicheries from January 2000 until August 28, 2001 at which time she appeared in court to fire her purported attorney and inform Hon. Marvin Lager, Judge LASC that the Nicheries had manipulated her and had taken control of the Shafrir companies. Since September 2001, Sarit Shafrir has been assisting Ami in reclaiming what is left of their assets, and to hold responsible parties accountable. However, the Nicheries continue to attack the Shafrirs with meritless litigation, that targeted Sarit Shafrir after August 28, 2001 - 12 actions.³

² A status only divorce was obtained in June 2001. The property distribution has not yet been done and is pending the resolution of the various litigation matters in Federal and State courts in California and Alabama.

³ Exhibit 1 list - #78, 83, 85, 89, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 104, 105.

Nicherie Controlled Entities & Individuals

The following chart identifies the Nicherie corporate entities and the Shafrir Entities controlled by the Nicheries that are involved in the meritless litigation against the Shafrirs.

	T	1	1
Entities Used to Receive	Entities Used to	Shafrir Entities	Individuals
Real Property and Stock	Receive Funds Taken	Used to Attack Ami	Used to File
taken from the Shafrir	from the Shafrirs and	and Sarit Shafrir	Actions against
Companies	to Pay Nicherie		the Shafrirs ⁴
	Attorneys		
Anke Investment Co., Inc.	Automatrix Financial	Amtec Audiotext,Inc.	David Adrabi
Archibald Management, Inc.	Call Center Management	WorldSite, Inc.	Samuel Rozanis
Corporate Management	Candence Medical	8670 Property	Richard
Control	Funding, Inc.	Partners, Ltd	Albertini
	_		
Kent Family Fund	Gedese Management, Inc	8670 Wilshire Corp	Michelle Dizon
8335 Property, Inc. (a Shafrir corp. taken over by the Nicheries)	Receivables Management Group	8335 Sunset Property Partners, Ltd	Ana Rozanis
Millenium Capital (name	SBN Venture Capital	8335 Property, Inc.	Jacqueline Jones
changed to Telco Financial)	Resource Partners, Inc.		
Online Recovery Systems,	Sunrise Inventory	Federal Transtel	Sergio Zamora
Inc.	Liquidators ⁵		
	Berlaga, Inc ⁶		

⁴ All of these individuals claims relate to false allegations of violence against Ami Shafrir and all have recanted their allegations.

⁵ Controlled by Daniel Nicherie's wife, Martha Johnson.

⁶ Name changed to 8670 Wilshire Corp, a Nevada corp. formed by Daniel Nicherie, not to be confused with 8670 Wilshire Corp., a California corp. owned by the Shafrirs.

THE SHAFRIR ASSETS

As recent as December 31, 1999, plaintiff and his estranged wife, SARIT were the undisputed owners of five corporations: **8670 Wilshire Corp.**; **8335 Property, Inc.**; **Morldsite, Inc.**; and **Federal Transtel, Inc.**("FTT") (75% interest) (the SHAFRIR ENTITIES")

The remaining community assets consisted of a personal residence of Ami Shafrir located at <u>1400 Laurel Way</u>, <u>Beverly Hills</u>, a personal residence of Sarit Shafrir located at <u>2015 Mount Olympus Drive</u>, <u>Los Angeles</u> and various minor real estate properties.

The divorce was proceeding rather uneventful until the influence of the Nishries in January 2000. At the commencement of the divorce proceedings in August 1998, and as late as January 2000, neither the Nicheries, nor their controlled entities held any interest in the Shafrir's Assets. By March 2000, every Shafrir asset other than Ami Shafrir's personal residence and small real estate holdings were under the possession and control of the Nicheries.

Central Theme of Allegations Against the Shafrirs

Several actions filed by the Nicheries allege the very same conduct that Ami Shafrir has violated RICO statutes, has engaged in money laundering and tax evasion, is associated with Kenneth Taves and Seth Warshevsky, was engaged in paying bogus vendors and transferring money off-shore, is a pornographer and a child molester. The Nicheries contend that unidentified investors they represent were misled into purportedly acquiring the Shafrir Entities after Ami Shafrir had purportedly embezzled funds belonging to the entities. The Nicheries have provided no support for their contentions or defenses. They file and dismiss actions under various Nevada shell corporations, at will.

⁷ Controls operation of a three story, 50,000 square foot office building, located at 8670 Wilshire Blvd. purchased by the Shafrirs in 1996 worth \$12,000,000 with a mortgage of \$5,000,000.

⁸ Controls operations of a three story, 10,000 sq. foot office building located at 8335 Sunset Blvd.,

purchased by the Shafrirs in 1997 worth \$3,250,000 with a mortgage of \$1,000,000.

<u>US DISTRICT COURT - CENTRAL DISTRICT CA</u>⁹ First Action - RICO

Status - DISMISSED 1/30/01

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6/23/2000 Amtec Audiotext, Inc. v. Digital Communication; Amiram Shafrir,

aka Amiram Moshe Shafrir, aka Ami Shafrir, aka Ami Moshe Shafrir, aka Moshe Ami Shafrir, aka Moshe Amiram Shafrir, aka Moshe Ami Shafrir, aka Moshe Amiram Fischer, aka Amiram Fischer, aka Amiram Moshe Fischer, aka Ami Fischer, aka Ami Moshe Fischer, aka Ami Fischer Shafrir, aka Amiram Fischer Shafrir; Shlomo El Kobi; Jacob Yaacov Licht; Gila Licht aka Gila Fischer aka Gila Shafrir, aka Gila Licht Fischer, aka Gila Licht Shafrir, aka Gila Fischer Shafrir, aka Gila Violin, aka Gila Violin Fischer, aka Gila Violin Shafrir, aka; Nechama Shafrir, aka Nechama Fischer, aka Nechama Frischer, aka Nechama Shafrir, aka Nehama Fischer Shafrir. aka Nechama Frischer Shafrir, aka Nehama Wolin, aka Nechama Woline, aka Nechama Wolin Shafrir, aka Nehama Wolin Frischer, aka Nechama Wolin Frischer; Meir Shtil Ecoline, Todan J G L Case No. CV-00-6784 CAS (JWJx) Hon. Christina A. Snyder, dismissed by Court on January 30, 2001 failure to prosecute. [Complaint For Money Damages, Punitive Damages, Civil Remedies pursuant to 18 USC 1961 et seq. (RICO) and Fraud]

This action was brought against Ami Shafrir and his non-resident relatives, including his sister and mother. The alias listing is merely a <u>conjugation</u> of Ami Shafrir's name, and includes names never used, intended to counter Ami Shafrir's allegations that Daniel Nicherie used various alias names in furtherance of his frauds, including Nisherie, Nishire, Nishrie, Nashrie, Nishri, Nasary, Nishi, Nishry and Nishre to conceal a criminal record.

Without authority, Daniel Nicherie caused Amtec to file this action against Ami Shafrir. Daniel Nicherie alleges that Ami Shafrir failed to disclose that he had looted Amtec prior to purportedly selling Amtec to a group of unnamed investors that Daniel Nicherie purports to represent.

2627

28

⁹ Ami Shafrir presents a brief background statement to provide an overview of the entire 116 actions identified herein, and to provide perspective into the interplay between the various matters and the various parties. (see Exhibit 4 - visual presentation)

1	Fifth Action-RICO
2	Status: STAYED
3	8/17/2001 Amtec Audiotext, Inc. and Worldsite v. Ami Shafrir, Nechama
	Shafrir, Isuaro Cajayon, Dennis Cajucom, John Singson,
4	, Sergio Zamora, Ronen Liany, Kenneth Taves, Offer Assis, Shai Bar Lavi, Joe Shapira, Seth Warshevsky,
5	Raphael Cohen et al. Case No. 01-CV-7209, Hon. Christina A.
6	Snyder. Removed case from active list 9/17/01
7	18 U.S.C. §1961 et seq. (RICO) and Fraud
8	Sixth Action Status: DISMISSED
9	8/20/2001 Michael Battista and Online Recovery Systems, Inc. v. Ami
10	Shafrir dba Federal Collection Union, dba Amtec
11	Communications. Case No. 01-CV-7268 Hon. Edward Rafeedie. Voluntary dismissal 11/21/01 Complaint for
12	1. Violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692 et. Seq Federal Fair Debt &
13	Collection Practices Act; 2. Violations of 18 U.S.C. §1343,
	1951 Wire & Telecommunications Fraud; 3. Violation of 18 U.S.C. M34 Mail Fraud; 4. Violation Of 18 U.S.C. §1342
14	Fictitious Name Or Address; 5.Fraud & Deceit; 6.Conversion;
15	7.Negligent Misrepresentation; 8 Injunctive Relief
16	
17	This action was filed at the direction of Daniel Nicherie after he had caused
18	approximately 5,000 letters to be issued to customers of Amtec who had outstanding
19	balances, seeking individuals to sue Ami Shafrir for unfair debt collection practices.
20	Subsequent letters authored by Daniel Nicherie in November 2001 sought support
21	from other "potential creditors" to keep Ami Shafrir in the involuntary bankruptcy filed by
22	Daniel Nicherie. The following is an excerpt from the November 2001 form letter.
23	"we expect our attorneys to mount a vigorous and zealous
24	prosecution of our bankruptcy petition. To ensure that Mr. Shafrir
25	stays in bankruptcy, we would like other creditors to join in the
26	involuntary petition."
27	
28	
	11

1 **Seventh Action** Status: DISMISSED filed in Alabama, transferred to California on 8/29/01 2 8/15/2001 Federal Transtel v. Ami Shafrir Central District California, Case 3 No. CV-01-7505, CAS (JWJx) Hon. Christina A. Snyder, related to CV 00-6784 CAS (JWJx) 10/18/01 and trans from 4 CBM(RNBx) Hon, Lourdes G. Baird on 12/6/01 - transferred on 5 8/29/01 from Northern District Alabama 01-CV-2055, after removal from CV-01-03800 Jefferson County Circuit Court. 6 Dismissed by Court failure to prosecute 2/19/02. Complaint for 7 money lent and Money had and received, \$2,380,181.96. 8 Dissatisfied with the transfer to California, the Nicheries re-filed in Georgia. Failing 9 to prosecute the Seventh Action, it was dismissed by this Court on 2/19/02. 10 11 **Eighth Action** Status: Filed in GEORGIA, transferred and STAYED in ALABAMA Bankruptcy of FTT 12 10/16/2001 Federal Transtel, Inc. v. Patrick Herold, Ami Shafrir, Sarit Shafrir 13 02-00074 (2001 CV 44101) Superior Court, Fulton County, Georgia removed to Alabama Bankruptcy; amended on 12/19/01 14 [Complaint for Conversion, Intentional Interference with 15 Contractual Relations, Intentional with Economic Relations, Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage, Fraid and 16 Deceit, Conspiracy to Defraud and Induce Breach of Contract, 17 Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Waste of Corporate Assets 18 On October 16, 2001, Daniel Nicherie caused this action, identical to the "Seventh 19 Action" to be filed in Georgia, one day prior to the involuntary bankruptcy petition of Ami 20 Shafrir that was also caused by Daniel Nicherie. The Georgia action was subsequently 21 transferred to Alabama where FTT's bankruptcy had been proceeding. Daniel Nicherie 22 manipulated the removal of the Seventh Action by re-filing in Georgia, and transferring 23 that action to Alabama. Daniel Nicherie did not want the issue of ownership and control of 24 FTT resolved in the Central District of California. 25 Of significance, is this Court's ruling dated 10/15/01 denying the Nicheries second 26 motion to dismiss. It precipitated the Eighth Action filed on 10/16/01 and the involuntary 27 bankruptcy filing of Ami Shafrir by Daniel Nicherie on 10/17/01 (see exhibit 3, hereto).

28

PENDING ACTIONS IN US BANKRUPTCY COURT

All California Actions Before Hon. Samuel Bufford

Ninth Action - Ami Shafrir's Involuntary Bankruptcy

Status: ACTIVE

10/17/2001

In Re: Ami Shafrir Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California Case No. 01-41207SB (Hon. Samuel L. Bufford) (involuntary Ch 7)

Petitioning Creditors

- 1) FTT default judgment in Alabama for \$85,000 by Daniel Nicherie, CEO FTT
- 2) Ohio "Miller default" Judgment \$104,988 & \$2,097 for claim of \$200
- 3) Spatz default judgment in the Northern District of California for \$6,559 (satisfied).

The following day after filing the Georgia action (Eighth Action), Daniel Nicherie caused the involuntary bankruptcy of Ami Shafrir using a default judgment he orchestrated in Alabama on behalf of petitioning creditor FTT, a company at all times owned 75% by Ami Shafrir, as community property.

The second petitioning creditor in Ami Shafrir's involuntary bankruptcy, Jack Miller had, at one time, assigned his Ohio default for \$110,000 to the Law Offices of Joel Glaser, Esq., ¹¹ the lead in-house attorney for Daniel Nicherie to facilitate bringing an action in California to enforce the sister state default judgment.

Joel Glaser noticed 15 debtor examinations in connection with this judgment, including those of all of Ami Shafrir's attorneys. The debtor exams were stayed and the Ohio Miller default was subsequently determined to be unenforceable by Hon. Marvin Lager in September 2001 based upon improper service of the Ohio complaint at a post office box. Despite the inability to proceed in California on the Miller Default, this creditor joined with Daniel Nicherie in the filing of the involuntary petition against Ami Shafrir and he continues to maintain this meritless claim.

¹¹ In violation of Business and Professions Code §6129

- 1	
1	Tenth Action
$_{2}$	Adversarial Proceeding in Ami Shafrir Bankruptcy
	Status: DISMISSED
3	1/17/2002 <u>Millenium Capital, Inc., SBN Venture Capital Resource Partners,</u>
4	Inc. v. Ami Shafrir, Sarit Shafrir, Jeffrey Golden, Trustee
_	8335 Property, Inc., James Dumas LA02-01127SB, US
5	Bankruptcy Court Adversary action. 01-41207SB for (1)
6	Declaratory Relief; (2) Injunctive Relief; 28 U.S.C. section
7	2201 Dismissed 3/21/02
8	Eleventh Action
9	Adversarial Proceeding in Ami Shafrir Bankruptcy
10	Status: ACTIVE
	8/23/2002 Amtec Audiotext, Inc. and SBN Venture Capital Resource Partners,
11	Inc v. Ami Shafrir, 8670 Property Partners, Ltd., 8335 Sunset Property Partners, Ltd., Sarit Shafrir 02-02412
12	(adversarial action, filed in 8335 Sunset Property bankruptcy
13	action LA01-41207SB) Complaint for (1-9) Conversion,
13	(10)Money had and Received, (11)Breach of Fiduciary Duty,
14	(12)Accounting, (13)Imposition of a Constructive Trust,
15	(14)Injunctive Relief (Properties and Sales Proceeds),
16	(15)Injunctive Relief (Unauthorized Corporate Actions)
16	(16)Claim and Delivery.
17	
18	
10	Twelfth Action - RICO
19	Adversarial Proceeding in Ami Shafrir Bankruptcy
20	Status: ACTIVE 9/10/2002 <u>Daniel Nicherie v. Ami Shafrir, Patrick Herold, Nechma Shafrir,</u>
21	Reginold Blando, Sergio Zamora, Isauro Cajayon,
	Sarit Shafrir, John Edward Singson, Ronen Liany, Offer Assis,
22	Shai Bar Lavi, Seth Warshevsky, Raphael Cohen. Dennis
23	Cajucom, LA 02-02476 adversary action in 01-41207 In Re: Ami
24	Shafrir. 1. Violations of 18 USC 1962 (b)- RICO; 2. Violations
	of 18 USC 1962 (c)-RICO; 3. Violations of 18 USC 1962 (d)-
25	RICO; 4. Indemnity for Violation of 26 USC 7201 Conspiracy
26	and Tax Evasion; 5 Indemnity for Violation of 18 USC 1344-
27	Bank Fraud; 6. Indemnity for Violation of 18 USC §1341-Mail Fraud; 7. Fraud and Deceit; 8. Bankruptcy Fraud; 9 Negligent
	Misrepresentation; 10. Defamation
28	,

1	Thirteenth Action - RICO
2	Adversarial Proceeding in Ami Shafrir Bankruptcy
3	Status: ACTIVE
	9/20/2002 Online Recovery Systems, Inc. v. Ami Shafrir, Ronen Liany, LA 02-02513; Adversary in Ami Shafrirs Involuntary
4	Bankruptcy for: (1) Violation of 18U.S.C. 1962(c)-Rico -
5	Fraud through use of one or more access devises; (2) Violation
6	of 18 U.S.C. 1962(c)-Rico-Mail Fraud; (3) Violation of 18
7	U.S.C. 1962(c)-Rico-Wire & Tele-Communications Fraud; (4) Violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(c)-Rico- Fictitious Name and
8	Address; (5) Fraud & Deceit; (6)Conversion; (7) Negligent
9	Misrepresentation; (8)Injunctive Relief
10	
	The Twelfth and Thirteenth actions repeat the same RICO allegations that are
11	contained in the First, Fourth and Fifth Actions and are intended to taint Ami Shafrir
12	before the Bankruptcy Court relating to Daniel Nicherie's petition to appoint a Trustee over
13	Ami Shafrir scheduled for October 22, 2002.
14	Ann Sharm scheduled for October 22, 2002.
15	Fourteenth Action
16	Status: ACTIVE
17	4/10/2001 In re 8335 Property, Inc. Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the
	Central District of California, Case No. LA 01-20784 SB (Hon. Samuel L. Bufford) (voluntary Ch 11)
18	Bankruptcy Filing - This bankruptcy action was filed to prevent the property located
19	
20	at 8335 Sunset Blvd from going into foreclosure due to nonpayment of the mortgage by
21	Daniel Nicherie who controlled the building since January 2000.
22	Removal of 940 - On the same day the bankruptcy petition was filed, Daniel Nicherie
23	caused Ami Shafrir's state court action BC 227940 (the 940 action) to be removed to
24	Bankruptcy court to prevent an ex parte application of a receiver from going forward
25	(scheduled for April 10, 2001) and to cause the Court to Vacate an OSC re: appointment of
26	a receiver over all of the Shafrir Entities that had been scheduled for April 30, 2001.
27	
28	

1	Fifteenth Action
2	Adversarial Proceeding in 8335 Property, Inc.
3	Status: REMANDED to LASC 6/6/2001 Anke Investment Corporation v. Ami Shafrir LA01-02048-SB
4	transferred from Jefferson County case CV-01-02778,[removal
5	to USBC, Central District California, 7/23/01 In re: 8335 Property, Inc. LA01-20784-SB (closed on 3/27/02)], suing
6 7	AMI claiming misrep. of real properties as security for Anke assuming alleged loan AMI to FTT re: fraudulent purchase. Remand to LASC Dept 38 on 10/16/01.
8	This action was brought against Ami Shafrir in Alabama and subsequently transferred
9	
	to California. Daniel Nicherie alleges that Ami Shafrir made misrepresentations to the
10	unnamed investors represented by the Nicheries relating to the FTT stock that had been
11	purportedly transferred to the Nicheries' investors via Anke in February 2000.
12	
13	Status: DISMISSED
14	1/2/2001 <u>In re Archibald Management, Inc.</u> Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court
15	for the Central District of California, Case No. LA 01-10015
16	SB (Hon. Samuel L. Bufford) (involuntary Ch 11) dismissed March 6, 2001
17	This involuntary bankruptcy petition was filed by Ami Shafrir to prevent the bank
18	foreclosure on the 8335 Sunset property caused by the non-payment of the mortgage by
19	Daniel Nicherie. (See discussion at Forty-First Action)
20	Covered and h Action
21	Status: DISMISSED
	1/2/2001 <u>In re Archibald Management, Inc</u> . Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court
22	for the Southern District of Nevada, Case No. 01-10021 (RCJ) (Hon. Robert C. Jones) (voluntary Ch 11) dismissed April 3, 2001
23	This bankruptcy petition was filed at the direction of Daniel Nicherie to prevent the
24	
25	bank foreclosure on the 8335 Sunset property caused by the non-payment of the mortgage
26	by Daniel Nicherie. The day after Joel Glaser, Esq. filed a Motion to Dismiss this
27	bankruptcy, he removed the 940 action to US Bankruptcy Court to capitalize on the
28	automatic stay.

- 1	
1	
2	Eighteenth Action
3	Status: DISMISSED 9/4/2001 In Re: Worldsite, Inc. Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the
4	Central District of California, Case No. LA01-36858SB
5	(Hon. Samuel L. Bufford) (voluntary Ch 7) dismissed 12/6/01
6	Nineteenth Action
7	Status: DISMISSED 9/5/2001 <u>In Re: Amtec Audiotext, Inc.</u> Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the
8	Central District of California, Case No. LA01-36922SB (Hon. Samuel L. Bufford) (voluntary Ch 7) dismissed 4/11/02
9	
10	The bankruptcy actions against Amtec and Worldsite were dismissed by the
11	Nicheries for failure to complete the financial schedules
12	
13	Twentieth Action
14	Status: DISMISSED 11/27/2001 In Re: Federal Transtel, Inc. Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the
15 16	Northern District of Alabama Case No. 01-09182-BGC-11, Birmingham, Alabama, (involuntary Ch. 7 conv. to 11)
17	Hon. Benjamin G. Cohen
18	Twenty-First Action Status: ACTIVE
19	12/11/2001 <u>In Re: Federal Transtel, Inc.</u> Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the
20	Northern District of Georgia, <u>Case No. 01-75399</u> (voluntary Ch. 11) Hon. Robert Brizendine, transferred to Birmingham
21	Daniel Nicherie caused FTT to file for bankruptcy in Georgia immediately prior to
22	hearing in Alabama for the appointment of a trustee, causing that matter to be delayed.
23	Subsequently, the Georgia Bankruptcy action was removed to Alabama, the Alabama
24	bankruptcy was dismissed, and a US Trustee was appointed. FTT is in the process of being
25	liquidated to pay for the administration of the bankruptcy.
26	
27	
28	
	17

1					
2 Twenty-Second Action	Twenty-Second Action				
Status: DISMISSED 3 C/2C/2000 In the March and DI C Dahara III S. Bendamatan Grant for the Control					
6/26/2000 In re: Matchnet PLC Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California Case No. 00-28618, involuntary Claiming dismissed 9/18/2000	n 7				
5 distilissed 9/18/2000					
6 1) 8670 Wilshire Corp. 150,000 rent					
2) Worldsite Networks, Inc. 350,000 internet serv. 350,666,666 equity purch					
3) Caleb Knedlik Educational Trust 16,666,666 equity purch 4) Will Knedlik 42,000 int w/K					
5) Beshert Publishing, LLC					
9					
Daniel Nicherie caused the Bankruptcy of a public corporation in an attempt to	extort				
11 \$1,000,000 prior to its IPO. Matchnet had valuable relations with the Shafrir Entities,					
including leasing office space at 8670 Wilshire Blvd.					
13					
14					
Twenty-Third Action					
Status: DISMISSED 9/18/2001 In Re: Digital Data, LLC Debtor, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the					
District of Nevada Case No. 01-19737 (Las Vegas, Ne	vada)				
(voluntary Ch 11) dismissed 12/14/01					
Another victim, Digital Data, is a Nevada entity that was taken over by Danie	el				
Nicherie. The Nicheries transferred significant assets of the Shafrir Entities to Dig	ital				
Data, a company engaged in the same business as Amtec.					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
18					

1	
2	MISCELLANEOUS OTHER ACTIONS IN US DISTRICT COURT
3	Twenty-Fourth Action
4	Status: DISMISSED
5	2/1/2002 <u>Sunrise Inventory Liquidators, Inc. v. Salomon Smith Barney, Inc.</u> Gerald Maestu, Phillip Kent, Cindy Figueroa 02-00947-RSWL
6	(Ex) United States District Court [Decl Joel Glaser, Randy
7	Miller re: OSC denied 2/4/02]
8	Twenty-Fifth Action
9	Status: DISMISSED by COURT
10	2/1/2002 <u>Call Center Management, Inc., Candence Medical Funding,</u> <u>Inc. v. Salomon Smith Barney, Inc. Gerald Maestu, Phillip Kent,</u>
11	<u>Cindy Figueroa</u> 02-00949-TJH (PLAx) United States District Court; [Decl Jerry Solomon Berger, Joel Glaser, Randy Miller
12	re: OSC denied 2/4/02] related to CV 02-00947 RSWL (Ex)]
13	2/6/02; transfer denied due to dismissal by Court on 2/4/02 failure to allege jurisdiction
14	
15	Twenty-Sixth Action Status: DISMISSED
16	2/1/2002 Archibald Management, Inc. v. Salomon Smith Barney, Inc.
	Gerald Maestu, Phillip Kent, Cindy Figueroa
17	02-00950-RSWL (Ex) to Judge Ronald Lew
18	United States District Court, Hon. Nora M. Manelle [Decl Leo Fasen, Joel Glaser, Randy Miller re: OSC denied
19	2/4/02] related to CV 02-00947 RSWL (Ex)] 2/6/02 and
20	transferred from NM (SHx) Judge Nora M. Manella; 5/6/02 dismissed by plaintiff
21	
22	The 24 th 25 th and 26 th Actions were filed to interfere with Ami Shafrir's subpoena of
23	documents from Salomon Smith Barney relating to the Nicherie Entities and the transfer of
24	million from the Shafrir Entities.
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10
- 1	19

There were similar requests and answers to all of the Shafrir entities

28

In responding to the interrogatories #17 and #18, as well as to most of the others, Daniel Nicherie exercised his constitutionally protected Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination and refused to answer or provide responses. ¹³

- <u>Interrogatory # 17</u> Identify all persons who were officers, directors or shareholders over the following entities at any time from January 1, 1999 ...1. Amtec Audiotext, Inc...
- <u>Interrogatory # 18</u> Identify the purchasers and their source of funds purportedly used to acquire any interest in the following entities, whether directly or indirectly, from January 1, 1999 ...1. Amtec Audiotext, Inc...

Document Request

Daniel Nicherie provided approximately 250 pages and made claims that "there may be additional such documents contained in the many boxes of documents in a storage facility previously rented by Amtec Audiotext to which this responding party does not have access because Amtec's financial insolvency lead to non-payment of the storage facility's charges." Upon inquiry into where the documents were located at his deposition, Daniel Nicherie refused to answer citing his Fifth Amendment privilege.

The documents produced by Daniel Nicherie were not relevant. Daniel Nicherie failed to produce Amtec corporate records required to be maintained, he produced no minutes of meetings of Amtec's shareholders or directors, he failed to produce the corporate stock register, accounting ledgers, or evidence of any amounts being paid for the purported issuance of 9,000 shares of stock by Amtec to Millennium. The only document produced was a purported "Line of Credit Agreement" and a copy of the 9,000 shares purportedly issued to Millennium.

There were similar requests and answers to all of the Shafrir entities and to all of the Nicherie Entities identified herein. The answer was the same, Fifth Amendment privilege.

1 **Deposition of Daniel Nicherie** 2 On September 25, 2002 the deposition of Daniel Nicherie was taken pursuant to 3 court order. Daniel Nicherie refused to answer any of the approximately 500 questions 4 citing his Fifth Amendment privilege, including inquiry into the identity of his attorneys 5 believed to number in excess of 130. 6 7 Twenty-Eighth Action - Counterclaim of Daniel Nicherie for RICO Status: **DISMISSED** 8 1/29/2002 Daniel Nishrie v. Sarit Shafrir, Patrick Herold, Nechama Shafrir, 9 Reginold Blando, Isaura Cajayon, Dennis Cajayon, John Edward Singson, Ronen Liany, Kenneth Taves, Offer Assis, Shai Bar Lavi, Joe 10 Shapira, Seth Warshevsky, Raphael Cohen Christina A. Snyder,01-CV-11 1507 RICO counter-claim; dismissed 06/03/02 Counter-Claim in Damages and Equity for:1. Violations of 18 USC 1962 (b)- RICO; 12 2. Violations of 18 USC 1962 (c) - RICO 3. Violations of 18 USC 1962 13 (d) - RICO; 4 Indemnity For Violation of 26 U.S.C. §7201 Conspiracy and Tax Evasion; 5. Indemnity For Violation of 18 U.S.C. §1344-Bank 14 Fraud; 6.Indemnity For Violation of 18 U.S.C.§1341 - Mail Fraud; 15 7. Negligence Per Se For Violations of 18 U.S.C. §§1342,1343, 1512, 1956 - Fictitious Name Or Address, Tampering With a Witness, Wire 16 and Telecommunications Fraud; 8. Fraud and Deceit; 9.Bankruptcy 17 Fraud; and 10.Negligence Per Sc For Violations of 18 U.S.C. §152; and 11 U.S.C. §303(b) Bankruptcy Fraud 18 19 **Twenty-Ninth Action** 20 Status: ACTIVE Ami Shafrir v. Hersch & Mannis, Joseph Mannis; Stephen Landau; 8/14/2002 21 Nachshin & Weston, LLP; Robert J. Nachshin; Stephanie 22 Blum 02-CV-06367 CAS(JWJx); Hon. Christina A. Snyder. **Thirtieth Action** 23 Status: ACTIVE 24 08/14/2002 Sarit Shafrir v. Daniel Nicherie; Abner Nicherie; Stephen Landau; Hersch & Mannis LLP, Nachshin & Weston, LLP; Robert J. 25 Nachshin; Stephanie Blum; Joseph Mannis; Glaser & 26 Associates, Joel Glaser; Thomas Emmitt; 02-CV-06367 CAS(JWJx); Hon. Christina A. Snyder 27 28

These actions were brought by Ami Shafrir and Sarit Shafrir against the divorce attorneys for Sarit Shafrir for causes including breach of fiduciary duty, conspiracy to breach fiduciary duty, RICO, RICO conspiracy and fraud. Stephen Landau; Hersch & Mannis LLP, Nachshin & Weston, LLP; Robert J. Nachshin; Stephanie Blum and Joseph Mannis were the main divorce attorneys for Sarit Shafrir. Their fees were paid by Daniel Nicherie through his Nevada shell corporations including Corporate Management Control, Gedese, SBN Venture Capital Resource Partners, Inc., Call Center Management and Sunrise Inventory Liquidators, an entity controlled by Daniel Nicherie's wife, Martha Johnson. One serious issue relates to conflicts of interests between protecting the interests of their client Daniel Nicherie and/or his conglomerate in maintaining possession and control over the Shafrir Entities while they committed theft and destruction on the one hand, or the best interests of their client Sarit Shafrir in protecting the Shafrir community assets on the other hand. Ami Shafrir has brought his action against these attorneys for conspiracy to cause Sarit Shafrir to breach her fiduciary duty owed to Ami relating to the administration of the community property while under her control.

ACTIONS IN OTHER US DISTRICT COURTS (FTT Related Actions)

Thirty-First through Fortieth Actions

Status: Trustee in FTT Bankruptcy in Alabama

		1 5
1)	1/12/2001	National Voice Comm v. Federal Transtel Inc., Texas Dist Ct, Dallas, 01-CV-89, Judge Barbara M.G. Lynn
2)	5/19/2001	Quintel Communications v. Federal Transtel, New York District Court, Case No. 00-CV-03803
3)	6/29/2001	Interactive Media v. Federal Transtel 01-CV-1652, Alabama Dist Ct. Hon. Edwin L. Nelson and counter-claim Federal Transtel v. Interactive Media

	l l		
1	4)	7/13/2001	Federal Transtel v. Advance Web Solutions, Federal Trade
2 3		-	<u>Commission</u> , 01-CV-1856, Georgia Dist Ct, Judge Ernest Tidwell cross-compl <u>FTC v. Advance Web, Federal Transtel</u>
4	5)	7/19/2001	Federal Transtel v. Advance Web, 01-CV-1910,
5			Judge Robert L. Vining, Georgia Dist Ct.
6	6)	10/1/2001	Traffix, Inc. v Federal Transtel, Inc. New York Dist Ct., 01-CV-08807
7			01-C v-00007
8	7)	11/1/2001	Mercantile Capital v. Federal Transtel, Inc Alabama Dist Ct, 01-CV-2785, Judge H. Dean Buttrum
9	8)	11/15/2001	Postwoh USA v. Fodoral Transtal, 01 CV 2006, Alahama Dist CT
10 11	(8)	11/13/2001	Bestweb USA v. Federal Transtel 01-CV-2906, Alabama Dist CT Judge Karon O. Bowdre
12	9)	11/15/2001	Federal Transtel v. Gointernet.net, Inc., Mercantile Capital,
13			Integretel, Inc., Neal Safferstein, Jay Star, Dimitri L.
14			Karapelou, Kler, Harrison, Harvey, Branzenburg & Ellers, Alabama Dist Ct. 01-CV-2911, Judge H. Dean Buttram
15	10)	11/01/0001	Candanas Mad Evadina Endard Transtal Inary Drivets Voice
16	10)	11/21/2001	Candence Med Funding, Federal Transtel, Inc v. Private Voice, Inc. fka Paragon, David Giorgione, Integrel, Inc.
17			Alabama Dist Court, 01-CV-2997
18		This group o	f lawsuits relates to the conflicts in Alabama resulting from Daniel
19	Nicherie's activities in FTT, a telecommunications company also engaged in the business		
20	of billing and collection. Daniel Nicherie was accused of failing to pay FTT's customers		
21		-	ed on their behalf. The claims of these parties exceed \$5,000,000.
22		•	aused a company he once valued at \$20,000,000 to be placed into
23	bankruptcy with significant unpaid liabilities and claims. In the three months prior FTT's		
24	bankruptcy, Daniel Nicherie disbursed \$1,079,943.26 to various attorneys from FTT,		
25	\$1,411,000 was disbursed in the prior year to Nicherie entities, and in excess of \$1,000,000		
26			TT as "shareholder expenses" of Daniel Nicherie in 2000 and 2001.
27			
28			
-			24

LITIGATION IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

AMI SHAFRIR'S ACTION TO SET ASIDE FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS Forty-First Action - BC227940 - (the "940 Action)

Status: ACTIVE

4/7/2000 Ami Shafrir et al. v. Ami Shafrir, individually and trustee of the Shafrir Family

Trust, 8670 Property Partners Ltd and 8335 Property Partners Ltd v.

Federal Transtel, Inc., Archibald Management, Inc., Corporate

Management Control, Inc. and Kent Family Fund et al. (BC227940) 5th

Amended Complaint filed for Rescission, Cancellation of Instruments,

Declaratory Relief, Quiet Title to Real Property and for an Accounting

This action (the "940 action) was brought by Ami Shafrir to set aside fraudulent transfers of:

- 1) <u>8670 Wilshire property</u> from 8670 Property Partners on March 9, 2000 to Archibald Management, and then on March 30, 2001 from Archibald Management to Corporate Management Control. No consideration was paid for either the March 9, 2000 or the March 30, 2001 transfer. Ami Shafrir was successful in appointing a receiver and the building sold in May 2002 for \$9,500,000. (A subsequent sale took place in July 2002 for \$12,500,000)
- 2) 8335 Sunset property from 8335 Sunset Property Partners on March 9, 2000 to Archibald Management and then on April 9, 2001 from Archibald Management to 8335 Property, Inc. one day prior to Daniel Nicherie causing 8335 Property Inc. to file for Bankruptcy to avoid a foreclosure by the bank for non payment of the mortgage. No consideration was paid for either the March 9, 2000 or the April 10, 2001 transfer. Ami Shafrir was successful in the appointment of a trustee in the bankruptcy of 8335 Property, Inc. and the building was finally sold in February 2002 at auction for \$3,250,000.

THE FIRST REMOVAL - The 940 Removal to In Re: Archibald (1/26/01)

On **January 2, 2001** attorney for Ami Shafrir placed Archibald Management into involuntary Bankruptcy in the Central District of California, Los Angeles Division, Case No. LA 01-10015-SB (the "Archibald California Bankruptcy") to prevent a pending bankruptcy.

On **January 25, 2001** Joel Glaser, (under the direction of Daniel Nicherie) filed a Motion to dismiss the Archibald California Bankruptcy.

On **January 26, 2001**, <u>the very next day</u>, Joel Glaser removed the 940 action to the Archibald "California" Bankruptcy Court in an attempt to capitalize on the automatic stay.

Effect of 1/26/01 Removal on Discovery in the 940 Action

Moreover, the Court Ordered deposition of Sarit Shafrir in the 940 action set for **January 29, 2001** was stayed and did not go forward.¹⁴

Discovery Order in the 826 Action

At the direction of the Nicheries, Sarit Shafrir failed to comply with the 1/18/01 Order for her to appear for deposition at the courthouse on 1/29/01.

On **February 9, 2001** Judge Sohigian issued an OSC on why Amtec and counsel therefore should not be sanctioned for failure of Sarit Shafrir to comply with the Order on 1/18/01 and for dismissal of the action.

The OSC was set for **March 2, 2001**, however Amtec dismissed that lawsuit on **February 28, 2001**, two days before the sanction hearing.

The 826 lawsuit contained allegations that Ami Shafrir had transferred Amtec funds to "Bogus Vendors," "Bogus Affiliates," and "Sham International Entities." The complaint

On **January 18, 2001** Judge Sohigian issued an Order in actions 826 and 940 that Sarit's deposition shall commence on January 29, 2001 at the courthouse after failing to appear on 7 prior occasions.

further alleged that Ami had wrongly used Amtec credit lines and had committed acts of forgery. Ami Shafrir had not filed a counter-claim in that action which allowed plaintiff to simply dismiss the entire action.

Rather than produce Sarit Shafrir (who was under the control of the Nicheries at the time) for deposition, the Nicheries chose to simply dismiss the entire action, an action that had been aggressively pursued by the Nicheries from the day that it had been filed on March 2, 2000; an action that had so many detailed allegations against Ami Shafrir.

The Nicheries never produced any support for their allegations in the 826 action, and they have not been able to do so in any action, recently evidenced by Daniel Nicherie's refusal to comply with Court Ordered discovery in Ami Shafrir's RICO complaint on the grounds of Daniel Nicherie asserting his Fifth Amendment Privilege.

The SECOND REMOVAL - The 940 Removal to In Re: 8335 Property, Inc. (4/10/01)

On **March 9, 2001** the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of California granted Ami Shafrir's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 11 Proceedings in Re: Archibald Management, Inc. **dba** 8335 Sunset Property Partners, LLP and authorized the entry of a **180 day bar to re-filing the bankruptcy**.

Archibald's only assets were the commercial real properties transferred in March 2000 without consideration (8335 Sunset Blvd. and 8670 Wilshire Blvd).

On **April 5, 2001**, Ami Shafrir obtained relief from the stay in Archibald's Nevada Bankruptcy action and on **April 6, 2001** Ami Shafrir gave notice of an Ex Parte Application for Appointment of a Receiver over **Archibald** that was set to be heard on **April 9, 2001** before Hon. Ronald Sohigian.

At the commencement of the **April 9, 2001** Ex Parte Application for the Appointment of a Receiver over Archibald, Marvin Benson, Esq. on behalf of defendant **Worldsite,** making its initial appearance in the action originally filed on April 7, 2000, filed a CCP §170.6 challenge to disqualify Hon. Ronald Sohigian.

Hon. Ronald Sohigian recused himself from the 940 action at the 4/9/01 hearing. Furthermore, the Court vacated all pending motions including the **April 30, 2001** OSC re: Appointment of Receiver over the Shafrir Entities and further vacated the July 2, 2001 trial date. The 940 action was reassigned on **April 10, 2001**.

On **April 10, 2001** Ami Shafrir again gave notice of an Ex Parte Application for the Appointment of a Receiver over Archibald Management set for **April 11, 2001** based, in part, on the impending foreclosure sale of the 8335 Sunset Blvd. property scheduled for 11:30 a.m. on **April 11, 2001**.

On **April 10, 2001** Joel Glaser, Esq., on behalf of 8335 Property, Inc. **removed** the 940 action to Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California, Los Angeles Division where he had placed the 8335 Property, Inc. into bankruptcy that same day.

The <u>removal</u> of the entire 940 action to bankruptcy court was to delay and frustrate Ami Shafrir's application for the appointment of a receiver over Archibald and the OSC re: receiver over all Shafrir Entities scheduled for 4/30/01. The bankruptcy protection over the 8335 Property did not require the removal of the entire 940 action to the 8335 Property, Inc.'s bankruptcy.

Furthermore, to circumvent the stay on re-filing the Bankruptcy of 8335 Sunset Property Partners, LTD, the Nicheries caused the 8335 Sunset property to be re-transferred to the 1% Corporate general partner, <u>8335 Property, Inc.</u> and then caused that entity to file for bankruptcy on April 10, 2001 rather than take Archibald into bankruptcy.

1	Forty-Second Action
$_{2}$	STATUS: STAYED BY COURT
	1/22/2001 Amtec Audiotext, Inc., Sarit Shafrir v. Richard Sherman, Robert
3	Young, Dumas & Associates, Ami Shafrir BC243717,
4	Dept. 24 Robert L. Hess amended on 4/18/01 to include as
	defendants, Mark Estes, Frisenda, Quinton & Nicholson
5	[Related on 5/24/01, transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon.
6	Marvin M. Lager] [Sarit dismissed compl w/o prej 9/25/01] (1)
,	Negligence per Se; (2) Conversion; (3) Conspiracy to Violate
7	18 USC § 1956, 1957 and 31 USC §1051; (4) Conspiracy to
8	Commit Perjury; (5) Conspiracy to Commit Fraudulent
9	Transfer; (6) Conspiracy to Commit Fraud On The Court; (7)
	Fraudulent Transfer; (8) intentional Interference With
10	Economic Relations; (9) Temporary Restraining Order
1	Preliminary Injunction, Permanent Injunction; (10) Constructive trust; (11) Accounting; (12) Fraud & deceit (13)
	Breach of fiduciary duty
2	
3	This is a meritless action brought to harass and discredit Ami Shafrir's attorneys. Sarit
4	Shafrir dismissed her claims in September 2001 and has disclosed that this action was filed by
15	Daniel Nicherie without her authority, consent or knowledge.
6	Forty-Third Action
17	Status: DISMISSED
	8/15/2001 Archibald Management v. Dumas & Associates, James Dumas, Ami
18	Shafrir, Richard Sherman Central District of California, Case
ا وا	no. 01-CV-10767, Hon. Margaret M. Morrow transferred on
	12/13/01 from 01-CV-0960 filed in Southern Dist. of Nevada,
20	motion to dismiss granted 4/18/02
$_{21}$	
22	This is another meritless action brought to harass and discredit Ami Shafrir's attorneys.
23	Forty-Fourth Action
24	Status: ACTIVE
25	1/17/2002 Anke Investment Corp. v. Sarit Shafrir, 8335 Sunset Property Partners, Ltd., 8670 Property Partners (P.C. 227040)
	<u>Partners, Ltd., 8670 Property Partners</u> (BC 227940) cross-complaint. cross-complaint. 1 Declaratory Relief; 2
26	Indemnification; 3 Fraud; 4. Negligent Misrepresentation; 5.
27	Conversion; 6. Interference with prospective economic
	advantage; 7. Breach of Contract;
28	5,,
	29

1	Forty-Fifth Action			
2	Status: ACTIVE			
3	1/18/2002 Archibald Management, Inc. v. Sarit Shafrir (BC 227940) cross-			
4	complaint for Declaratory Relief and Indemnification			
5	LAWSUITS FILED TO CIRCUMVENT COURT ORDERS/STAGED LITIGATION			
6	(the Nicheries attorneys represented all parties)			
7	Forty-Sixth Action Status: DISMISSED 7/26/01			
8	3/15/2001 Archibald Management, Inc. v. Amtec Audiotext, Inc. SC065702			
	West Los Angeles			
9	Ami Shafrir gained unrestricted access to his properties located at 8670 Wilshire			
10	Blvd. and 8335 Sunset Blvd, by Court Orders dated 3/13/01 and 3/16/01. Prior to that			
11	date, the Nicheries had controlled these properties. After March 16, 2001, even with a			
12	Court Order, the Nicheries refused to allow Ami Shafrir access with the assistance of			
13	armed guards.			
14	Daniel Nicherie orchestrated the filing of this staged action by Archibald against			
15	Amtec, both parties controlled by him, to interfere with the 3/13/01 and 3/16/01 Orders.			
16	Neither of these orders were disclosed by Daniel Nicherie to the Court.			
17	Daniel Nicherie caused the parties to file this action (3/15/01), then to enter into a			
18	stipulated judgment (3/16/01), and to dismiss the action on ex parte application to the			
19	Court after obtaining the court's approval of the stipulation. The action was sealed on			
20	3/19/01 under the pretext of confidentiality. The stipulation for judgment was used as if i			
21	Jang and a second secon			
22				
23				
24	The 3/16/01 Order provided that:			
25	"Ami Shafrir shall not be restricted in any way from accessing 8670 Wilshire Blvd., and 8335 Sunset Blvd., (the "Properties"). This Order specifically grants the right			
26	to Ami Shafrir to access the Properties, including unrestricted entry onto and into			
27	the Properties. Petitioner [Sarit Shafrir] and her agents are restricted and enjoined from interfering with his <u>access</u> thereto. Petitioner [Sarit Shafrir] and her agents are			
28	restricted and enjoined from locking Ami Shafrir out of the Premises"			

were a Court Order revoking Ami Shafrir's access to the 8670 Wilshire and the 8335 Sunset properties.

Pertinent portions of the March 16, 2001 Stipulated Judgment presented to and signed by the Hon. Valerie L. Baker provide:

"For an injunction enjoining and restraining former Amtec employee **Ami Shafrir**, Ronen Liany, and their agents, employees, all those acting in concert with Ami Shafrir or Ronin Liany, and any persons which Archibald designates as a "**disruptive person**" from coming within 100 yards of Archibald Properties located at 8335 Sunset Blvd., Los Angeles, California 90069 and 8670 Wilshire Blvd., Beverly Hills, California." {emphasis added}

On March 26, 2001 the Hon. Valerie Baker VACATED the stipulated judgment on Ami Shafrir's ex parte application based on extrinsic fraud. This action was later amended to include Ami Shafrir as a defendant, however it was subsequently dismissed on July 26, 2001, after the Court granted Ami Shafrir's motion to consolidate 26 actions before Hon. Marvin Lager on May 24, 2001, and before the August 3, 2001 status conference.

Forty-Seventh Action

Status: DISMISSED by Joel Glaser on 3/28/01
12/28/2000 Berlaga v. Amtec Audiotext and Sarit Shafrir OOCC 15558 [filed in Orange County - dismissed 3/28/01]

Daniel Nicherie caused his attorneys to prepare a Judgment and Stipulation for Judgment for another attorney. These documents were prepared concurrent with the preparation and filing of the complaint in this action. The Berlaga action was staged from beginning to end to obtain a \$110,000 judgment against **Amtec and Sarit Shafrir** that could, and was, use to obtain funds from Ami Shafrir maintained in a Merrill Lynch

1	account that had been frozen in the Divorce matter. Merrill Lynch interplead these funds				
2 3	into court. The staged default judgment was vacated by the Court for extrinsic fraud.				
4	Forty-Eighth Action Status: DISMISSED				
5	8/18/2000 <u>Amtec v. 8670 Property</u> BC 235 397 [dismissed 9/13/00]				
7	This action was dismissed shortly after filing. It was not litigated, however since				
8	the Nicheries controlled both plaintiff and defendant, there appears to have been ulterior				
9	motives behind this action.				
10	Forty-Ninth Action				
11	Status: Complaint DISMISSED				
12	8/18/2000 Ami Shafrir, Worldsite et al. (BC 235385) [Dismissed 2/22/01] cross-complaint Worldsite v. Ami Shafrir,				
13	exparte in Dept 85 (Hon. Pzintra				
14	Janavs], proceeding Dept 42, Hon. Elihu M. Berle				
15	has testified that the claims in this action making allegations against				
16	Ami Shafrir were fabricated by Daniel Nicherie and that he was offered \$40,000 by Daniel				
17	Nicherie to file this action				
18	Fiftieth Action				
19	Status: Complaint DISMISSED				
20	(Ami Shafrir's cross complaint severed and consolidated with the 940 action) 8/21/2000 Sergio Zamora v. Ami Shafrir, Worldsite et al, (BC 235506)				
21	filed on 8/21/00 and cross-complaint Worldsite v. Ami Safrir,				
22	Sergio Zamora and Ken Taves Dept. 24, Ami Shafrir v. Worldsite, Amtec, Albertini, Rozanis [Related on 5/24/01,				
23	transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager]				
24					
25	Sergio Zamora has also testified that the claims in his action making allegations				
26	against Ami Shafrir were fabricated by Daniel Nicherie and that he was offered \$40,000 by				
27	Daniel Nicherie to file this action				
28					
	32				

1				
	Fifty-First Action			
2	Status: DEFAULT JUDGMENT 2/15/2001 Federal Transtel, Inc. v. Amtec Audiotext, Inc.			
3	CV-01-01012, [filed 2/15/01] Circuit Court of Jefferson County,			
4	Alabama, Ed Ramsey, judgment 5/8/2001 \$461,017.96			
5				
6	This action resulted in an apparent default permeated by extrinsic fraud since the			
	Nicheries controlled both plaintiff and defendant.			
7				
8	DISCOVERY ABUSE IN 826 ACTION FORCES DISMISSAL BY NICHERIES			
9	Fifty-Second Action			
10	Status: DISMISSED 2/28/01			
11	3/2/2000 Amtec Audiotext, Inc. v. Ami Shafrir (BC 225826) Complaint for Breach of Fiduciary Duty and Embezzlement			
12	Complaint for Breach of Fiduciary Buty and Embezziement			
13				
14	This lawsuit contained fabricated allegations that Ami Shafrir had transferred			
	Amtec's assets to "Bogus Vendors," "Bogus Affiliates," and "Sham International Entities."			
15	The complaint further alleges that Ami Shafrir had wrongly used Amtec credit lines and			
16	had committed acts of forgery. Daniel Nicherie has repeated the same allegations in			
17	several other actions against Ami Shafrir, including six RICO actions. (First, Fourth, Fifth,			
18	Twelfth, Thirteenth, and Twenty-Eighth Actions)			
19	On January 18, 2001 Judge Sohigian issued an Order in this action (the 826 action)			
20	and in BC227940 (the 940 action) for Sarit's deposition to commence on January 29, 2001			
21	at the courthouse.			
22				
23	After Sarit Shafrir failed to appear, Hon. Ronald Sohigian issued an OSC re:			
24	dismissal and sanctions against AMTEC and its counsel for failure of SARIT to comply			
	with discovery and set a hearing date of March 2, 2001.			
25	On February 28, 2001, two days before the sanction hearing, AMTEC dismissed			
26	the 826 action to prevent having to appear and be sanctioned.			
27	No discovery was ever produced by Amtec.			
28				

1				
2	Other California Superior Court Actions			
3	Fifty-Third Action			
4	Status: DEFAULT			
5	4/21/2000 Marathon Bank v. Amtec, Ami Shafrir, Sarit Shafrir, First Regional Bank (BC228687) [Dept 36, Paul Boland]			
6	Interpleader [Related on 5/24/01, transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept.			
7	38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager] [default judgment v. Ami 3/19/01]			
8	Fifty-Fourth Action			
9	Status: STAYED by COURT			
10	4/5/2001 Amtec Audiotext, Inc., Worldsite, Inc. v. Ami Shafrir, New York Life Insurance Co., Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.,			
11	Lawrence Tover, BC 248135 [Related on 5/24/01, transferred on			
12	5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager]			
13	Fifty-Fifth Action			
	Status: DISMISSED 4/9/2001 Sarit Shafrir v. Washington Mutual Bank, Ami Shafrir, Packwood			
14	Communications, Daniel Maman, et al., (BC 248326)			
15	[Dept. 23, Hon. Ronald E. Cappai] [Related on 5/24/01,			
16	transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager] dismissed 9/25/01			
17	On April 3, 2001 , the Hon. Roy Paul ordered that Ami Shafrir could access his New			
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
19	York Life Insurance policy for \$63,906 plus his Metropolitan Life policy for approximately			
20	\$80,000 for the purpose of bringing the mortgage on his residence with Washington Mutual			
	current.			
21	On April 5, 2001 , Daniel Nicherie caused the 54rd action to be filed against New York			
22	Life and Met Life to interfere with the court's April 3, 2001 ruling and on April 9, 2001 Daniel			
23	Nicherie caused the 55 th action to be filed against Washington Mutual to injure Ami Shafrir's			
24	relationship with the bank, to deplete Ami Shafrir's resources and to increase Ami Shafrir's			
25	damages.			
26				
27				
28				
	34			

- 1			
1	Fifty-Sixth Action		
2	Status: DISMISSED		
3	4/12/2001 Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Federal Transitel,		
4	Ami Shafrir and Sarit Shafrir Case No. (SC 066139), [Dept WEJ, Jerry K. Fields] [Compl. dismissed 1/31/02]		
5	When the Nicheries attempted to execute against the Shafrir funds at Merrill Lynch		
6	that using the Berlaga judgment against Sarit Shafrir and using the FTT default against		
7	Ami Shafrir, Merrill Lynch refused to pay and interplead these funds into court. The		
8	Merrill Lynch account had been frozen by stipulation of the parties in the Divorce matter.		
9	The Nicheries caused FTT to file a cross-complaint against Merrill Lynch.		
10	Fifty-Seventh Action		
11	Status: DISMISSED		
	11/1/2001 Kent Family Fund v. Sarit Shafrir, Ami Shafrir (BC 260936)		
12	[Dept 40, David Workman, CCP 170.6 challenge by Plaintiff trans to Dept 46, Hon. Rodney E. Nelson] dismissed w/o prej		
13 14	on 2/20/02		
	Tiletan Tilahah A adian		
15	Fifty-Eighth Action Status: DISMISSED		
16	11/21/2001 SBN Venture Capital Resource Partners, Inc. v. Wells Fargo Bank		
17	(SC 069466) West Los Angeles [Dept.WEL Hon.Valerie L.		
18	Baker] Demurrer by Wells Fargo 2/11/02; Dismissed 1/30/02 w/prejudice.		
19			
20	DISPUTES RELATING TO REAL PROPERTIES		
21			
22	Fifty-Ninth Action Status: DISMISSED		
23	2/14/2001 8335 Property, Inc. and 8335 Property Partners, Ltd. v. Marathon		
24	National Bank, T.J. Herles, Craig Collette, Worldsite (BC 245156) [Dept. 71, Hon. Soussan Bruguera] [removed to		
25	US Bankruptcy court 4/10/01 In re: 8335 Property, Inc.LA01-		
26	20784-SB - adv no. LA01-01566-SB closed on 3/28/02 First Amen Compl filed 2/22/02; remanded to LASC]		
27	rinen comprined 2/22/02, remanded to Eribej		
28			
	25		
	35		

1	Sixtieth Action		
2	Status: DISMISSED		
3	3/2/2001 8335 Property, Inc. v. Raphael Cohen, aka Raffi Cohen etc		
4	(BC 246081) [Dept. 51, Hon. Irving Feffer] [Related on 5/24/01, transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon.		
5	Marvin M. Lager] [removed to Bk on 4/10/01 In re: 8335		
6	Property, Inc. LA01-20784-SB (adv no. LA01-01642-SB closed on 11/30/01)] [Motion to set aside granted 10/11/01; appealed		
7	11/6/01]		
8	Sixty-First Action		
9	Status: EVICTION OF NICHERIES from 8335 Sunset		
10	9/12/2001 <u>Investors Property Services, Jeff Golden, Trustee v. Call Center</u> <u>Management, Inc., Archibald Management, Inc.</u>		
	01U00514, Div 6, Beverly Hills Courthouse12/11/01 Judgment		
11			
12	The Nicheries caused 8335 Property, Inc. to file for bankruptcy and was the master		
13	tenant due to a sham long-term agreement designed to control the property for as long as		
14	possible. This action is an eviction action against the Nicherie controlled entity, Archibald		
15	Management that purported to be in control.		
16			
17	LITIGATION RELATING TO FALSE ALLEGATIONS OF VIOLENCE		
18	A central theme of Daniel Nicherie in attempting to discredit Ami Shafrir by		
19	alleging acts of violence by Ami Shafrir.		
20			
21	Sixty-Second through Eighty-First Actions		
22	False Allegations of Violence re: Ami Shafrir's Access to Real Property		
23	Status: DISMISSED		
24	1. 4/14/2000 Amtec Audiotext, Inc. (Richard Albertini) v. Ami Shafrir		
25	(BS062662), [Dept 2C, Victor Reichman, trans to Dept 27, Kenneth Black] [OSC denied 5/16/00]		
26	Status: DISMISSED		
27	2. 4/14/2000 Amtec Audiotext, Inc. (Ronen Liany) v. Ami Shafrir (BS062663),		
28	[Dept 2C, Victor Reichman, trans to Dept 27, Kenneth Black] [OSC denied 5/16/00]		

1				
	Status: DISMISSED 3. 4/14/2000 Amtec Audiotext, Inc. (Jacqueline Jones) v. Ami Shafrir			
2	3. 4/14/2000 Amtec Audiotext, Inc. (Jacqueline Jones) v. Ami Shafrir (BS062664), [Dept 2C, Victor Reichman, trans to Dept 27,			
3	Kenneth Black] [OSC denied 5/16/00]			
4	Status: DISMISSED			
5	4. 4/19/2000 <u>Jacqueline Jones v. Ami Shafrir</u> (BC 228478) [Dept. 32, William F. Highberger] [Related on 5/24/01,			
6	transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager] [dismissed 10/01]			
7	Status: DISMISSED			
8	5. 8/3/2000 Amtec, Inc. employee Richard Albertini v. Ami Shafrir (BS 064628) [Dept. 2C, Victor Reichman] [8/4/00 no notice-denied 8/21/00]			
9				
10	Status: DISMISSED 6 8/2/2000 Amtes Inc. appleves Indith Zamore v. Ami Shafrin (BS 064620)			
11	6. 8/3/2000 Amtec, Inc. employee Judith Zamora v. Ami Shafrir (BS 064629) [Dept. 2C, Victor Reichman] [8/4/00 no notice-denied 8/21/00]			
12	Status: DISMISSED (ALL)			
13	7. 3/9/2001 Amtec, Inc. employee Michelle Dizon v. Ami Shafrir (BS 068175)			
14	8. 3/9/2001 Amtec, Inc. employee Richard Albertini v. Ami Shafrir (BS 068176)			
	9. 3/9/2001 <u>Amtec, Inc. employee Reginold Blando v. Ami Shafrir</u> (BS 068177)			
15	10. 3/9/2001 Amtec, Inc. employee David Adrabi v. Ami Shafrir (BS 068178)			
16				
17	False Allegations of Violence - Access to 8670 Wilshire and 8335 Sunset			
18	Status: DISMISSED 1/29/02 11. 3/30/2001 Sarit Shafrir v. Ami Shafrir, Mark Estes, Darryl Bower, Ronen			
19	11. 3/30/2001 Sarit Shafrir v. Ami Shafrir, Mark Estes, Darryl Bower, Ronen Liany, Event Protection, (BC 247737) CC Ami Shafrir v. Sarit Shafrir			
20				
	Status: DISMISSED 1/29/02			
21	12. 3/30/2001 <u>David Adrabi v. Ami Shafrir, Mark Estes, Darryl Bower, Ronen</u> Liany, Event Protection, (BC 247786) CC Ami Shafrir v. David Adrabi			
22	Emily, Event Hotelton, (BC 217700) CC 1mm Sharm v. Buvid Harabi			
23	Status: DISMISSED 1/29/02			
24	13. 3/30/2001 <u>Michelle Dizon v. Ami Shafrir, Mark Estes, Darryl Bower, Ronen Liany, Event Protection</u> , (BC 247787)			
25				
26	Status: DISMISSED 3/15/02 14. 3/30/2001 Richard Albertini v. Ami Shafrir, Mark Estes, Darryl Bower, Ronen			
27	Liany, Event Protection, (BC 247788) CC Ami Shafrir v. Richard			
28	<u>Albertini</u>			
	37			

1			
2	15. 3/30/2001 Archibald Management, Inc. v. Ami Shafrir, Mark Estes, Darryl		
3	Bower, Ronen Liany, Event Protection, (BC 248045) dismissed 7/25/01		
4			
5	Status: INACTIVE 16. 3/30/2001 Samuel Rozanis v. Ami Shafrir, Mark Estes, Darryl Bower, Ronen		
6	Liany, Event Protection, (SC 065916) CC Ami Shafrir v. Samuel		
7	<u>Rozanis</u>		
8	Status: DISMISSED		
9	17. 9/21/2001 <u>Abner Nicherie v. Sarit Shafrir</u> (LD035836) Law enforcement TRO		
10	Status: DISMISSED		
11	18. 11/26/2001 <u>Richard Albertini v. Sarit Shafrir</u> (SS010521) Harassment/TRO.		
12	Dept. WEA, Hon Alan B. Haber - Dismissed lack of prosecution 1/15/02		
13			
14	Status: STAYED 19. 11/21/2001 Federal Transtel, Inc., Daniel Nicherie v. Ami Moshe Shafriri		
15	and Sarit Shafriri, CV 0107212 (Circuit Court of Jefferson		
16	County, Alabama to FTT Bankruptcy)		
17	Daniel Nicherie alleges that Sarit Shafrir threw a large stack of papers at him in		
18	Alabama rather than the RICO complaint when she was effectuating service. Daniel		
19	Nicherie alleges he was knocked off his chair and was taken to the hospital by ambulance.		
20	This action alleges trespass by Ami Shafrir and Sarit Shafrir and assault by Sarit Shafrir.		
21	Daniel Nicherie was successful in setting aside the default in Ami Shafrir's RICO action against him, however he was ordered to answer.		
22			
23			
24	Status: DEFAULT against SARIT 8/2002		
25	20. 1/18/2002 <u>Abner Nicherie. v. Ryan Miller, Sarit Shafrir, Ethan Cocksmith,</u> Villa Marina Club Service, Villa Marina Unocal, Inc.		
26	SC 070364; Dept. WEN, Hon. Robert M. Letteau		
27	Sarit Shafrir was not served in this action and will be moving to set it aside		
28			
	38		

1					
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	USING SHAFRIR ENTITIES OTHER INDIVIDUALS TO ATTACK AMI SHAFRIR Eighty-Second through Nintieth Actions				
3					
4	Status: DISMISSED				
5	1) 2/29/2000 <u>Astra Capital, LLC et al. v. Raphael Cohen</u> (BC 225661) [Dept. 32, Hon. Robert H. O'Brien] [dismissed 6/28/00]				
6	Status: DISMISSED				
7	2) 3/6/2000 Worldsite, Inc. v. Glenn Wallace Morris, Ami Shafrir, Sarit Shafrir,				
8	(BC 225937), cross-complaint Morris v. Worldsite, Sarit Shafrir [Dept. 31, Judith M. Ashmann] [Related on 5/24/01, transferred				
10	on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager] Dismissed 7/31/01 with prejudice.				
11	Status: DEFAULT				
12	3) 3/22/2000 <u>Federal Transtel, Inc., Anke Investment Corp v. Ami Shafrir,</u> Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama, CV-00-01787ER				
13	Special process server 5/15/00 summons; 4/12/01 motion for				
14	special process server Metropolitan Life Insurance writ of garnishment				
15	Status: DISMISSED				
16	4) 4/12/2000 Plan B Media Group v. Sarit Shafrir, 8335 Property, Inc., 8670				
17	Wilshire Corp, Randy Miller, Amtec et al. (BC 228110) [Dept. 58, Hon. Lawrence W. Crispo] [Related on 5/24/01,				
18	transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager]				
19	[dismissed all but Amtec w/prej. 5/24/01]				
20	Status: DISMISSED				
21	5) 4/19/2000 Robert Patterson v. RPG Productions, FlyTV.Net, Ricky Paul				
22	Golden, Ami Shafrir, Ronen Liany (BC 228477) [Dept. 68, S. James Otero] [trans to muni BH				
23	7/28/00 by Court failure to file POS/stat conf]				
24	Robert Patterson was paid \$2,000 by Daniel Nicherie to file this action.				
25	a. A. Diamidaed				
26	Status: DISMISSED 6) 5/22/2000 WorldSite v. Ami Shafrir and NetOptions (BC 230378)				
27	[Dept.3, Hon. Emilie H. Elias] dismissed 9/20/00				
28					
	39				

1	Status: DISMISSED			
$_{2}$	7) 10/11/2000 Worldsite Networks v. Robert Caulfield, (BS 065795), Dept 23,			
3	Ronald Cappai, Dept 17, Robert H. O'Brien			
4	Status: DISMISSED			
5	8) 10/11/2000 Worldsite Networks v. Eric Bass, (BS065796), Dept 45 Hon, Mel Red Recana			
6	Trom 1721 red recand			
7	Status: DISMISSED 9) 11/8/2000 Sarit Shafrir v. Gil Traub, Mayer Taper, Ago Restaurante			
8	9) 11/8/2000 <u>Sarit Shafrir v. Gil Traub, Mayer Taper, Ago Restaurante</u> (BC239988) Dismissed November 20, 2001			
9				
10	TENANT DISPUTES			
11	Ninety-First through Ninety-Eighth Actions			
12	Status: DISMISSED			
	1) 3/14/2000 Amtec Audiotext, Inc., Worldsite, Inc. v. Cynthia Takacs			
13	(BC 226488) and cross-complaint <u>Cynthia Takacs v. Amtec,</u> Worldsite Networks, Inc. aka Worldsite, Inc., Sarit Shafrir,			
14 15	Ami Shafrir [Dept. 48, Hon. Morris B. Jones] Stipulated			
16	Judgment 5/16/01; [cross-complaint dismissed w/prej 5/11/01] judgment entered 10/12/01 \$49,805.54, but was never paid.			
17	Status: STAYED			
18	2) 3/17/2000 <u>John Stevens, Elite v. 8670 Property Partners, Ltd., 8670 Wilshire</u>			
19	Corp., The Shafrir Family Trust, Amtec, Inc., Ami Shafrir, Sarit Shafrir Ronin Security Company, Net Options, Inc.			
20	(BC 226703) [Dept. 38, Hon. Marvin M. Lager]			
21	Status: DISMISSED			
22	3) 3/30/2000 Worldsite v. CDK Net, LLC, Inc.com, Shai Bar Lavi, Vflash, Inc.			
23	(BC227342) [Dept 18, Marlene A. Kristovich] dismissed w/prej 5/31/00			
24				
25	Status: STIPULATED JUDGMENT by Daniel Nicherie - UNPAID			
26	4) 3/31/2000 Glenn Morris v. Ami Shafrir, Sarit Shafrir, WorldSite (BC 227486)			
27	[Dept. 31, Hon. Judith M. Ashmann] [Related on 5/24/01, transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager]			
28	Lambierton on o/o 1/o1 to 2 opin oo 11om 11m Lagor			
40				
	40			

1	Status: ACTIVE			
2	5) 6/29/2000 MatchNet v. WorldSite, 8670 Wilshire Properties (BC 232677)			
3				
4	Status: SETTLED and DISMISSED			
5	6) 9/14/2000 <u>MatchNet v. Worldsite, 8670 Wilshire Corp., 8670 Property</u> Partners, The Beshert Publishing, LLC; Lewis Weinger (BC			
6	236763) (cross-complaint The Beshert Publishing v. Matchnet			
7	and NetCorp, Inc. Dept 18, Marlene A. Kristovich, settled, dismissed 12/01			
8	Status: ACTIVE			
9	7) 11/20/2000 <u>Branner & Long v. Amtec, Daniel Nishrie, 8670 Property Partners,</u> Amtec Audiotext, Anke, Archibald, Millenium, Kent			
10	Family Fund, Abner Nicherie, SBN Venture Capital,			
11	Worldsite et al. (SC 064059) West Los Angeles [Dept. WEN, Hon. Robert M. Letteau] [Related on 5/24/01, transferred			
12	on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager]			
13	Status: DISMISSED			
14	8) 11/22/2000 <u>Amtec v. Yoram Dahan, Melissa Dahan; Citicolor; California</u>			
15	Capital Insurance Company (BC 240772) [Dept. 78, Hon. Marilyn L. Hoffman] [Related on 5/24/01,			
16	transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager]			
17				
18				
19	The above disputes relate to claims by various tenants in the properties located at			
	8670 Wilshire and 8335 Sunset, generally against the unlawful eviction and/or theft of			
20	their property by Daniel Nicherie. Ami Shafrir was named as a defendant in some of these			
21	actions due to his ownership interest in the properties and because he was the person the			
22	tenants had entered into their contracts. The tenants were generally unaware of the details			
23	of the actions of the Nicheries and their unlawful possession and control.			
24	Cynthia Tacaks, John Stevens, CDK Net, Brenner & Long, MatchNet and Citicolor			
25	were tenants in the 8670 Wilshire building in 2000 pursuant to lease agreements. These			
26	tenants were locked out of the premises and their personal property was stolen by the			
27	Nicheries shortly after the Nicheries took control of 8670 Wilshire.			
28				

1	VICTIM DISPUTES			
2	Ninety-Ninth through One Hundred Fifth Actions			
3 4 5 6	Statu 1)	as: ACTIVE 6/22/2001	Abale Investments v. Daniel Nicherie, Daniel Nisherie, Daniel Nishrie, SBN Investment Venture Capital Resource, Sunrise Inventory Liquidators, Federal Transtel, Martha Johnson, Closeouts.com, Inc., Shuki Levy, (SC067188), WEG, LASC, Western Judicial District, Santa Monica; amended 10/17/01	
7		Abale alleges	that in late 1999 Daniel Nicherie took \$280,000 for the purported	
8	acqu	isition of FTT.	. Daniel Nicherie had sold FTT to other investors prior to unlawfully	
9	acqu	acquiring it from the Shafrirs		
10	Stati	ıs: DISMISSEI	D.	
11	2)	7/28/2000	Anthony Fieno v. Amtec Audiotext, Net Options (OOE06951)	
12			[Dept 106, Van Nuys]	
13		ıs: DISMISSEI		
14	3)	7/31/2000	Jade, Citicolor v. Amtec, Ami Shafrir and Sarit Safrir (SC 062607) West Los Angeles [Dept. WER, Laurence D. Rubin]	
15			[Related on 5/24/01, transferred on 5/31/01 to Dept. 38-Hon. Marvin M. Lager], dismissed 7/26/01	
16	G			
17	Statu 4)	s: DEFAULT 8/22/2000	Computer Professionals Unlimited, Inc. dba Ryder Computer	
18			Professionals v. Worldsite, Inc. (OOB00632), Div 003 small claims, Hon. Robert Brock	
19			Claims, Holl. Robert block	
20	Statu 5)	is: DEFAULT 7/26/2001	Continental Refrigeration v. 8670 Wilshire Corp. (01S01146) small	
21		7/20/2001	claims Hon. George W. Coleman, default 9/12/01	
22	Statu	ıs: ACTIVE		
23	6)	8/17/2001	Spear Security Company v. Amtec Audiotext Inc. and Richard	
24			Albertini (BC 256341) [Dept. 24, Robert L. Hess]	
25		s: DEFAULT 9/26/2001	set aside ACTIVE LA Porking Services. Inc. v. 8225 Sunget Property Portners. 8225	
26 27	7)	7/ 4U/ 4UU I	LA Parking Services, Inc. v. 8335 Sunset Property Partners, 8335 Property, Inc., Archibald Management, Inc., Sarit Shafrir	
28		-	KC 037004, Dept. R, Hon. Conrad R. Aragon; 4/18/02 default v. Archibald; Sarit & 8670 Property Ptrs	
20			42	

1	
	ASSISTING OTHERS IN OBTAINING DEFAULTS AGAINST AMI
2	One Hundred Sixth through One Hundred Ninth Actions
3	
4	Status: DEFAULT 1) 4/27/2000 <u>James Sparano v. Amtec Communications, Inc., Ami Shafrir</u>
5	(BS062943) [default judgment 5/4/00 in Connecticut, sister state enforcement.
7	Status: DEFAULT
8	2) 5/8/2001 Jack Willer V. Ailli Sharrir, (BS 0081/4) [Dept 1A, Murray Gross]
9	Status: DEFAULT
10	3) 05/18/2001 Rick Alonso v. Amtec Audiotext, Inc., Ami Shafrir, Sarit Shafrir, Citicolor, (BC250745), [Dept 30, David Horowitz] Recovery
11	Personal Property taken by Nicheries; default v. Amtec
12	\$105,000; Ami dismissed 3/6/02]
13	Status: DEFAULT
14	4) 12/21/2000 <u>Federal Transtel v. Ami Shafrir</u> (BS 067033) [Dept. 40, Hon. David A. Workman]
15	L of the system
16	The Complaint was purportedly served on Ami Shafrir at 8670 Wilshire Blvd., during the
17	period that Ami Shafrir was excluded from the property, and a subsequent default was
18	obtained. Ami Shafrir was never served with the Complaint.
19	
20	ACTIONS MAINTAINED BY AMI SHAFRIR AND SARIT SHAFRIR
21	ACTIONS MAINTAINED BY AMI SHAFKIK AND SAKIT SHAFKIK
	One Hundred Tenth through One Hundred Fifteenth Actions
22	Actions to Set Aside Fraudulent Transfer of Sarit's Residence
23	Status: ACTIVE
24	1) 11/26/2001 <u>Sarit Shafrir v. Daniel Nicherie, Abner Nicherie, Kent Family Fund,</u>
25	Inc. (BC 262327) Dept. 23 Ronald Cappai - Cross-complaint Kent Family Fund, Inc. (assignee of SBN Venture Capital
26	Resource Partners, Inc., Millennium Capital, Inc., Anke
27	Investments, Inc., and Archibald Management) v. Sarit Shafrir;
28	related on 2/22/02 to BC 227940
	43
- 1	$_{1}$

1	This action was brought by Sarit Shafrir to set aside the fraudulent transfer of her
2	residence to Kent Family Fund, Inc. and to quiet title. Daniel Nicherie subsequently
3	transferred the property from Kent Family Fund to Wilshire Executive Suites.
4	
5	ACTIONS AGAINST JOEL GLASER
6 7	Status: ACTIVE 2) 10/3/2001 8670 Property Partners v. Joel Glaser, Glaser & Associates, Jerry Solomon Berger, Law Offices of Jery Solomon Berger, Gabriel
9	<u>Dorman</u> , (BC 259039), [related to BC 227940 Dept 38, Hon. Marvin Lager on 12/7/01] (CCP 170.6 on 12/14/01) reassigned to Dept. 72, Hon. Jon M. Mayeda 12/28/01
$\begin{vmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{vmatrix}$	Status: ACTIVE
12	3) 10/3/2001 <u>8335 Sunset Property Partners, Ltd. v. Joel Glaser, Glaser & Associates, Gabriel Dorman, (BC 259040)</u>
13 14	[related to BC 227940 on 12/2/01 Dept. 38 Hon. Marvin Lager] (CCP 170.6 on 12/7/01) reassigned to Dept. 61, Hon. David L. Mining on 12/28/01
15 16 17 18	Status: DISMISSED 4) 10/19/2001 Ami Shafrir v. Joel Glaser, James Hillsburg, Gabriel Dorman (BC 260164) [related to BC 227940 on 12/31/01 Dept. 38 Hon. Marvin Lager] (CCP 170.6 on 1/25/01) reassigned on 2/13/02 to Dept. 53, Hon. John P. Shook; dismissed w/o prej 4/4/02
19 20	Dispute between Ami Shafrir and Prior Attorneys
21 22 23	Status: DEFAULT Judgment against Ami Shafrir 5) 8/14/2000 Friedman & Friedman v. Ami Shafrir (BC 235138) default judgment 12/14/00 \$93,510
24	The Complaint was purportedly served on Ami Shafrir at 8670 Wilshire Blvd. and 8335
25	Sunset Blvd during the period that Ami Shafrir was excluded from the properties, and a
26	subsequent default was obtained. Ami Shafrir was never served with the Complaint.
27	subsequent default was obtained. This sharm was never served with the Complaint.
28	
	44

1	Status: Action STAYED by COURT
2	6) 5/3/2001 <u>Ami Shafrir v. Friedman & Friedman, Ira Friedman, Abby Friedman,</u>
3	Arthur Barens BC 249840
4	
5	CONCLUSION
6	The abusive litigation in these actions caused by the Nishries and their associates is
7	designed to draw attention away from the real issue of how the Nicheries came into
8	possession and control of the Shafrir assets.
9	The litigation is designed to keep the Nishrie Conglomerate in possession of the
10	Shafrir Entities to prevent accountability and to allow them to continue their activities.
11	The "threshold issue" that would defeat all of the Nicheries' claims, and stop the
12	abusive litigation is to determine how the Shafrir Assets were purportedly transferred to the
13	Nicheries. The issue of setting aside the fraudulent transfers in pending in the 940 action,
14	however, the issue relating to the conduct of the Nicheries and their associates, and the
	damage caused by the Nicherie Racketeering Activities is before this court.
15	Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a summary of \$3,498,000 in legal fees that have
16	been paid to attorneys representing the interests of Daniel Nicherie, plus \$3,434,000 paid
17	to others, plus \$1,875,000 (1,426,000 + \$449,000) paid directly for Daniel Nicherie's
18	benefit and \$819,300 disbursed from Nicherie controlled accounts presently unidentified.
19	The \$9,628,000 identified in the Exhibit 2 schedule was derived from documents
20	contained court records, including bankruptcy petitions and documents produced pursuant
21	to subpoenas, and reflects a portion of the \$40,000,000 in damages to the Shafrirs.
22	
23	FRISENDA, QUINTON & NICHOLSON
24	
25	
26	DATED: October 9, 2002 By: Mark Estes, Esq.
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff
28	Ami Shafrir
	45
	Notice of Pendency of Other Actions and of Related Cases